G. R. S. 58]

Previous references. 1924 (Inwards) **File No.** 128-24

Mis. 109 '24-' 2 **Serial Nos.** 1 - 6

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRE ARIAT

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for March 1925

SUBJECT

Submits report on the memorial of Basappa and others praying for pecuniary aid for Mahapuja &c in Sri Sangameswara Swami temple at Haribar

---

MR. 1429 dated 31-3-25 To the Inwg. Comr.

Decide the matter yourself after a personal inspection of the temple and local enquiry if you consider it necessary, hearing the aggrieved party to appeal to Govt.

B170

Muz 1] 1924

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(Muz.)

NOTES.

File No. 125 / 1924

FILE HEADING.

Maha puje etc in Sri Sangameswara Swami temple near Harihar.

From The Muzrai Comr

No C. 257 Dated 4-11-24 Received 8-11-24

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports on the [illegible] and others

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Muz Comr reports that the temple in question is a Muz. inam where Brahmin worship is in vogue, that the proposed arrangement which means puja by non-Brahmins is opposed both by Archaks and Dharmadarsi

NOTES--First Sheet

WD 0560—3PH—500—9 8 22

Serial No

and is of opinion that the request cannot be complied with.

2) The Inspr: Comm: also states that in spite of the objections of Brahmins, the Lingayats commenced puja in the temple on 30th ocber 1924 and promises to submit a report after due investigation.

3) The Subdr of the further report may be awaited.

Submitted

F.P. 11.

4) This is a serious infringement of the rights of one Community by another, and a thorough investigation of the matter seems called for with a view of bringing the offending Culprits to justice. The Insp: Comr may be requested, if approved, to have the case thoroughly sifted and a final report of the action taken or to be taken called for before the end of Decr 24 the latest.

8/
12/11/24

5) No Lingayats have or any persons forced themselves into the temple, nor have also pulled down the wall of the house

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Then Harrison & Crewdson debtors
Ltd. who Dy Collr has been called
upon his franchise for which a week
2) The Dy. Collr has not been
provided with sufficient Staff. He ought to know
Seems that the Engineer does not communicate
him a Special Memo before establishing
them right.

New Secy 6) The claim 12.11.24 and may be
353/14.11 11 M) referred to the report may be called
for a further report on the alleged performance of Pujas by
Non-Brahmins in the temple.

7) C' agree 2/15/24

13.11.24.

15/11/24

15.11.24

8) Dept. reply is noted
22/17.11.24

9) Done 18.11.24.

To the Mang. Commr. No. 728 dt 20.11.24. 2
Note that no pecuniary aid can be granted
for the above Pujas regard the alleged
performance of Pujas by Non-Brahmins in
the temple once Pujas by Brahmins as usual
is now in vogue. The promised fees
for a final report may be asked to send as
early as possible.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 125 of 1924

Serial No.	
3.	From, the Muz. Comr. Letter no C. 257 dt 29.12.24. Submits copy of the Chitaldrug Dy Comrs letter reporting about the performance of puja by the Lingayats contrary to manual, and requests orders in the matter of appointing a committee consisting purely of non-officials and representing both sides to go into the whole question and come to some workable [illegible]
B	10) The complaint in this case is that in the temple of Sangameswara Swamy at Harihar where Brahmana worship has been in vogue, the Lingayats conducted puja through their Pujaris and Ritwiks etc.
	11) Sri Sangameswara Swamy temple which [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

MD 0561-1GEB—9750—18 22

Muzrai
Secretariat

Muz. File No. 175 of 1924.

Serial No.	
	Containing Iswara Vigraha, is at the junction of Haridravathi (rather Sulekere Halla) and Tunga Bhadra rivers at some distance from Harihar town. It is said that this temple contains the Mulavaram, while in the town of Harihar, there is another temple of Utsavam which is worshipped by the Lingayats. The temple which is situated on the private land of one Sunkada Venkoba Rao, is according to the Cash Grant register, a muzrai institution endowed with an annual Cash Jodik of Rs 5-13-0 This temple or rather mantap will be buried in sand for 6 months in the year and the Mula Vara Vigraha will be available for worship only for 4 or 5 months.

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Serial No.

14) I do not consider it necessary to give any finding on the question as to [illegible]

15) The question at issue is whether the Archaka alone is entitled to do puja in the temple or whether Sthanika Brahmins of non Brahmins - Employees of the committee - can do the puja also. Both the Archaka and the Sthanika.

It is open to the Trust committee to decide the matter hereafter - after a personal inspection of the temple and local enquiry if the question is raised, - leaving the aggrieved party to appeal if found by him necessary to do so.

16) A copy may be sent to the Trust committee accordingly

24/3/25

26/3

26/3

8

R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

---

17) Papers if any may be put up.

18) If there are no records in Secretariat relating to case, no orders can be taken on the telegram and may be filed

D.1419

28/2 4/3

2/3

2-3 15.

19) Where file 125-24 on the subject is sub? for kind perusal. The Imam Register called for has not been received yet. It may be ascertained.

2/3/25 Rec-Sec

2.3.25

Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be pasted or clipped on this form

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record

Office

20) The file should have been submitted
to the Member earlier or near
of the institution of the
Case.

Noted by the Member for perusal.
The Chief Secy. requested Govt. to
furnish statement of the facts
of the case. It appears Govt
is necessary to protect the
orchard in the exercise of
his rights. Prima facie the
owners of property that others
have a right of worship
should be respected. The
DC should be requested to
hold an enquiry into this
aspect of the case. Pending
the establishment of the claim
the orchard should be open
to worship

12/2/43

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on scraps of paper the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

2 Aug 1419

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

22) Please see my note on the main file body.

23) The Indian Telegram may be sent to Muscat only after despatch of Camp with the Consul to Buraimi in accordance with their arrangement

Telegram

WT 26/3

24) Dft. Endorsed.

AH 26/3/25 26.3.25

To Aden

Rec'd

WT 26/3

25) Copied

Le 28/3

26) Pam WT 28/3

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

GRS [11—3]

Routine Notes.

[For the use of notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

To the Manager Hammam No. 1129 dt. 6.
d. 31.8.27. Tele. Telegram & letter from
Barrister Ipradatta Sharma forwarding par. cert.
for meeting & compensation charges expended
& for insurance of Pipes in the
Temple & state that it is open to
the Manager Hamam will to inspect
the Temple personally & then de-
cide the question of the right
of easement.

NB—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied on slips pasted on this form

C.257 of 26-25
Enc two.

22023

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Myso

Dated Bangalore, 5th November 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

10 NOV 1924 MUZRAI

8 NOV. 1924

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.263/M.F.108-24-2 dated 21st August 1924, forwarding for disposal or report, if necessary memorial addressed to His Highness the Maharaja by Basappa and others, praying for pecuniary aid for mahapuja etc., in Sri Sangameswaraswami temple near Harihar, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug District, who was asked to report after necessary enquiry if the undertaking was deserving of any help from Government and if so to intimate the source from which the cost could be met, says in his letter No.183 dated 18th October 1924 (copy and enclosures ----- appended) that this is a Muzrai institution where Brahmin worship is in vogue, that the proposed arrangement which means puja by non-brahmins is opposed both by the archak and the Dharmadarsis. The Agamiks of this office who were consulted in the matter are also of the same opinion and their note with sastraic quotations is also --- enclosed herein.

2. In these circumstances, I am of opinion that the request of the petitioners cannot be granted as it is opposed to mamul.

3. I beg also to submit herewith for the information of Government copy of a further communication received from the Deputy Commissioner intimating that not-with-standing the objections raised by the ----- Brahmins for the performance of worship by the Lingayets, the latter commenced puja in the temple on the 30th October 1924 and that the - Sub Division Officer has been instructed to proceed to the spot, hear

all

all sides and send up his report immediately.

On receipt of the above a final report will be submitted for the orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Meander

KSR.

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.SC.183/Rev.24-25 dated 16th October 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, to the Mazrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to your letter No.C.257/24-25 dated 3rd ------ September 1924 calling for a report in the matter of the monetary aid applied for by H. Basappa and certain others for Manapuja etc., in the Sri Sangameswara temple near Harihar, I have the honor to forward the correspondence ending with the Davangere Sub Division officer's No.S.C.60/24-25 dated 1st October 1924 on the subject and to state as follows.--

The petitioners propose to get manapuja &c., performed to the Sri Sangameswara temple in the bed of the river Tungabhadra at Harihar by Lingayet Rithwiks and others. But the trustee of the -- temple who is a Pramin protests that his right will be affected if others are allowed to perform puja. The Dharmadarsis of the temple are also reported to be opposed to the performance of the puja &c., by non-Pramins and the Sub Division officer appears to consider that the abhisheka &c., should be got performed only through the agency of the recognized archak.

In the circumstances I am of opinion that the present move of the petitioners to indirectly obtain the approval of Government to a departure from usual should not be countenanced."

"Copy"

For Mazrai Commissioner.

K3R.

Letter No.S.C.183/24-25 dated 1st November 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore-

.....

"In continuation of this office letter No.S.C.183/24-25 dated -- 20th October 1924 in the matter of the Mahapuja in the Sri Sangameswara temple near Harihar, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Amildar of Harihar Sub Taluk reported to the Sub Division officer, Davangere that with a view to perform the mahapuja in the said temple during the month of Karthika, the Lingayats got the silt and debris removed and in so doing pulled down the wall etc., of the temple which is situated in the bed of the river. The Sub Division officer submitted the records with his memo Nos. C.60/24-25 dated 27th October 1924 to this office and solicited orders in the matter. The records were received here -- on 29th October. The same day i.e., the 29th October Gundabhatta, ~~xx~~ Archak of the temple wired to this office to the effect that the ----- ~~xx~~ Lingayats foreed their way to the temple, drove him out and obstructed the performance of the usual services by him and that he reported the -- matter to the Dharmadarsis and the Deputy Amildar for necessary enquiry.

On 30th October 1924 the Deputy Amildar reported by wire that the Lingayats commenced puja in the temple and that the Brahmins objected to its performance by them and solicited orders in the matter.

The report of the Sub Division officer and the wire received from the Deputy Amildar, did not contain any information as to the mamul and the respective claims and rights of the parties to enable this office to arrive at a decision. The marginally noted telegram was therefore sent

Harihar Sangameswara report very meagre no information regarding mamul or rights stop puja not mamul after due enquiry send report with opinion.	to the Sub Division ----

Officer and in sending a post ~~ex~~ copy of this telegram he has been ---- requested to proceed to the spot, hear all sides, and send up his report immediately.

On hearing from him a detailed report in the matter will be submitted.

"Copy"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

Diary No. of letter replied to 655

Section Muz

File No. 125-24

Serial No. 2

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

No. 726

Date of issue 20 11 24

To The Muzrai Engr

Signed by Mr T Ramaiya

Sir

With reference to your letter No. C. 257 ~~dated~~ of 1924-25 dated the 7th November 1924 regarding the Maha puja in the Sri Sangameswara Swami temple near Harihar, I am directed to state that no pecuniary aid can be granted for the puja. ~~In regard to the~~

over.

performance of puja
by Non Brahmans where
in the Temple where Brahman
puja is said to
puja have been in vogue, I am begged that
the Commissioner further
& final report may be
submitted first as
early as possible.

Thanks

18.11.24

K Nerode

17.11.24

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7-22

C257/24-25 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
Came one with one file

Dated Bangalore,, 29th December 1924.
24/12

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

3-JAN 1925 MUZRAI Dno 1086.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Muz.125-24-3.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 726/M.F.125-24-2 dated 20th November 1924, regarding the conduct of mahapuje in the Sri Sangameswaraswami temple at Harihar, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter Gc.No.183/24-25 dated 9th December 1924, together with its enclosures from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, reporting about the performance of puja by the Lingayets contrary to mamul.

A The Sub Division Officer's report dated 14th November 1924 and the Deputy Commissioner's letter under disposal explain fully (B) the facts of the case.

2. I am of opinion that, in view of the findings of the Sub-Division Officer (Mr. Chenarajurs) on evidence recorded by him and the admission made by two brahmins, Messrs Srinivas Sitaram Kulkarni, Shanbogue and Dharmadarsi, and Nadiga Ramanna and the statement made by the archak in his deposition of 12th November 1924, that the Lingayets also perform puja and that it is not done through him, the taking of any drastic action by Government as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner in para 7 of his letter appears to be both unnecessary and undesirable, as it would only tend to embitter feelings and that the good sense and good feeling of the communities concerned might allow matters to settle amicably, provided Government officers do not interfere or actively concern themselves in the dispute. I ~~amy~~ may also add that the drastic action

proposed

proposed may lead to undesirable consequences whereas, for feeling to settle, it does not appear that any appreciable would be done.

If Government approve of the course, I shall request the Deputy Commissioner to appoint a committee consisting purely of non-officials and representing both sides to go into the whole question, and come to some workable understanding.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chaudry

Muzrai Commissioner.

183

Letter No.GC.~~83~~/24-25 dated 8/9th December 1924 from the Dputy Commissioner Chitaldrug District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"In continuation of the correspondence ending with your letter No.C 257 of 24-25 dated 25th November 1924 regarding the performance of puja in the Sri Sangameswaraswami temple at Harihar, I have the honor to -- forward herewith the records of enquiry conducted by Messrs: N. Subba Rao and M. Chennaraj Urs, Sub Division Officers and the reports submitted by them on 3rd November 1924 and 14th November 1924 respectively on the subject, and to state as follows:--

2. It is seen that Mr. N. Subba Rao considers that the action of the "KARYAKARI SANGHA" in performing the puja was unauthorised and opposed to mamool, while Mr. Chennaraj Urs has held that there is nothing wrong -- about it, that not only the Archak "but also the Lingayats, Vaisyas, Kurubars and others have been themselves performing the puja of the deity and that the puja which is being performed now by Lingayat priests is in accordance with mamool." In view of the importance of the matter, I paid a visit to Harihar on the 20th November 1924, and made informal - enquiries and inspected the spot also personally after giving due ---- information to the parties. But some of the leading members of the Sangha failed to appear at the time of inspection and inquiry.

3. The Archak states that the Sangha members have destroyed the --- brick walls on 3 sides of the temple, that they have been preventing him from doing his usual puja, that they are unauthorisedly performing their own worship and that this has necessitated the performance of Samprokshana before worship is again conducted by him. The Sangha people and their partisans however contend that there was no brick wall as alleged, that they did not know that there was a separate pujari for the institution and that all people other than Christians and Mahomedans are entitled to go and worship the deity in their own way, and also that last year they got the Karthikotsava performed through Lingayat Priests without objection - from any quarter.

4. It is no doubt a fact that a number of persons have been examined on these points by the Sub Division Officers, and these have deposed one way or another, but the evidence recorded is varied and discrepant in --- several material respects, and scarcely have any of the persons through whom puja is alleged to have been offered previously by different ~~xxxx~~ sections been examined. Further, in a matter of this kind it is not

not generally difficult for either side to secure witnesses support its own case. The main question involved is, however, and has to be dicided with reference to the questions whether the practice of pujas being offered in the manner alleged by the Sangha prevai elsewhere, in any Muzrai institutions with recognised and duly appointed Archaks of a particular sect and what the effect is of the documentary -- evidence, if any, available in the case.

5. So far as it is known, there would appear to be no Muzrai institutio or place of worship within the state in which people are allowed to conduct puja by themselves, or through any one other than recognised priests of the institution indiscriminately, notwithstanding that the temple is a Government Muzrai institution and there is a regularly appointed stipendiary --- Archak. The present Sub Division Officer has noted that excepting the Brahmins all the others are unanimous in saying that the Deity is being worshipped by all classes of people except Mahomedans, Christians and -- depressed classes, and that there is no restriction that the puja should be performed by only one person or community. In the case of temples --- which are not protected by doors but are open and easily assessible to the public, it may, no doubt, happen that devotees belonging to all communities go and perform some sort of worship by offering cocoanuts, flowers, fruits etc., in their own way during the absence of the hakdar priests or other recognised authority. It is believed that in some of the most important temples of Northern India, the devotees are allowed to approach and worship the presiding deity, but even there, this has to be done under the direct supervision and control of the recognised priests, and in accordance with the forms prescribed by them. But to hold that the public can promiscuously enter and get formal puja performed by members of their own class or community at their pleasure, and in their own special way, would not only deprive the rightful priests of their privilege, but it would also lead to endless confusion and sectional conflict. So far as I can see there is no evidence whatever, especially documentary evidence, in this case to show that any class of people can go and get puja performed in accordance with its own custom or sectional shastras publicly to the exclusion of the recognised Archak. Though there is mention of some worship by ---- Longayats during the past 3 years, there is no evidence regarding any --- period prior to last year, and it is proved by the enquiry that no body else conducted any formal puja till last year. In the last year there

there was some such puja performed by Lingayats, but it was obviously done on a very small scale and without attracting much attention or -- giving occasion for objections being raised.

6. Now, the most important point is that the temple in question is a recognised Muzrai Institution with an annual tasdik and the archak ---- Gundabhatta holds a sannad duly granted by the Inam Commissioner so far back as 19th October 1868 and it is beyond doubt that he and his ---- ancestors have been attending to the puja all along, by a right duly granted by competent authority. No custom of worship by others has been alleged at all and the fact of last years worship cannot, by any means, be taken as a precedent. Moreover the temple being entirely under Government control, no one excepting the authorised Archak has any right of entering and himself performing puja in it. In these circumstances there could, it is believed, be no hesitation in holding that the finding of Mr. Chennaraj Urs is unacceptable.

7. The organisers of the Sangha have undoubtedly acted quite --- ~~xxxxxx~~ unauthorisedly and in defiance of authority in conducting puja themselves, in the manner they have done. They virtually admit, and are ascertained to have acted as masters of the situation by taking possession of the institution almost during the whole of the day and night, and offering to allow the proper recognised archak to perform his duty and puja only after they had left. As already reported by Mr Nanaswamy Rao in this office letter No.S.C.183/24-25 dated 20th -- October 1924, the high-handed action of the Sangha cannot be countenanced It is an unlawful act and the organisers have clearly made themselves liable, as trespassers, to ~~xxxx~~ severe notice being taken. It is very unfortunate that, at the outset alone, the Sangha was not prevented by competent authority from carrying out their programme. This could have been easily done, at least, till the matter was enquired into the final orders passed. In view of the fact that there was a show of some precedent of last year and it was possible that the members of the ---- Sangha might not have been aware of the seriousness of their unauthorised action, it was not considered necessary or expedient, at the ~~last~~ latter stage, during the actual performance of the puja to stop it and create discontent or disaffection, if not, actual trouble. It is, all the same, now --- necessary to bring home to the Sangha the serious irregularity and ----- impropriety of their action. This is also essential in order to uphold

the absolute right of control by Government over the Muzrai --- institutions in its charge. Orders to the above effect may communicated to the above Sangha with a direction to desist from repeating their unauthorised act in future.

8. As regards the alleged destruction of walls on the sides, there is no clear proof of the existence of such walls or of any intentional interference with them, and no action would therefore be called for in the matter. This is all the more so, as the temple in question is one situated in the bed of the river, and subject to submersion during the flood season which leaves the temple buried in sand, on its subsidence. The temple is annually, during the dry season, cleared of the sand and used for worship. Hence the destruction of the walls, if any, could not be attributed to the Sangha, or at any rate, proved against it."

"Copy"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

30/12/24.

R. S. VI- G-321 B50-WD (5..

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

Section Mvvj.

File No 125-24

Serial No 4.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

No. 12000

Date of issue 7-2-25

To The Inuz. Comr

Signed by V. Ramaiya

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to forward for reference, a copy of the detailed inam register pertaining to the annual grant of Rs 5-13-0 under T D no. 3 of 19th octr 1868 for the up-keep of Sri Sangameswara Swamy temple at Harihar.

I have &c.

Sd/- Rev. Secretary.

over

31/1/25

36286

C 991/Inam-25 encs two

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 26th February 1925.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

2-MAR1925 MUZRAI

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

GENL & R... Sr. Mus... 28 FEB 1925 Sir... RECT

Dno 1/Gen. C.

Mag. 125-Cb. 5.

With reference to your letter no.1200/M.F.125-24-4 dated 7-2-25, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of Inam Register pertaining to the grant of a cash inam of Rs.5-15-0 to the temple of Sri ---- Sangameswaraswami at Harihar.

2. Petition dated 31st January 1925 received from Mr. Kanuda ... Gujjar and others of Harihar, praying that orders in conformity with previous practice and local usage etc., may be passed as above solicited.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Register Sheet No. 3/3

Taluk Harihar

Hobli .....

Village .....

General No	Value of the Inam	Description of Inams If for service, it is to be stated whether it is performed If for buildings, tanks etc., whether they are efficiently kept up	Tenure Hereditary, unconditional, for life only, or for two or more lives
1	2	3	4
	Rs-as ps 5-13- Annually This is paid monthly at Rs 0-7-9 per month for 7 months and at Rs 0-7-10 for the remaining month	Devadayam granted for Padinara Deepa-radhana daily in the Pagoda of Sangameswara situated almost at the confluence of the Tungabhadra and Sulekere dalla or river by the grama name of Pari-dravathi. The Service is said to be well performed	Permanent. So long as the Pagoda is in existance and the Service is therein rendered.

in the village of in the

When, and by whom, and to whom granted	Name of the party registered in the Standard Muziai or other recognized accounts
5	6
	Jhusdik Patti of 1830-31
Not Known.	Sangameswara Rs 5-13-1
	Lavatama of 1866 Same as above Rs 5-13-1 pe

Taluk of in the District

DESCRIPTIVE ROLL OF THE PRESENT RECIPIENT

Name place of residence, and age	Caste or tribe, height and complexion	Indelible marks tending to the identification of the Inamdar
7	8	9
Parihar Bed of the tank Sree Sangameswara ~~Lingameswara~~ Devar. Sorshunter Lingathat.		

of of the Division

Relationship to the grantee or the registered holder	Particulars of the heirs of the party in col 7	PARTICULARS OF THE COMMUTED LAND			
		Village and taluk	Description and No	Extent	Assessment
10	11	12	13	14	15

GPB—50 books of 2 sheets—5-10-09

Recommendation of the Assistant	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
16	17

As usual the Inam ~~referred~~ suffered three large reductions. In 1803 Rs 24-4-9 were deducted on account of the Decimals, it was again reduced to Rs 20-5-5 which was reduced a third time to the present amount of Rs 5-13-1 in 1831. This being a Devadayam and as no orders appear on record limiting the duration of the grant, I recommend its confirmation So long as the Pagoda is in good order and Service therein is rendered.

(Sd) B. Gopaliah.
Assistant.

30-9-68.

P. S. Since writing the above, I saw the Commissioner's order no 5 of 25th May ~~1888~~ 1858 in which it is said that no reduction should be made in the ~~Salary~~ Poojary of the ~~Pagoda~~ for when the Pagoda is covered with water ~~from~~ a period of a month and a half or thereabouts during the time of freshes.

(Sd) B. Gopaliah.
Assistant.

Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Gram Commissioner.

17

The payment of Rs 5-13-0 confirmed, fractions eliminated, so long as the conditions of the grant are fulfilled.

(Sd) T. Clerk

19th October 68. Gram Commissioner

Compared
Ett
20/2/25

"Copy"

K Krishnamurthi
For Superintendent.

Section Miscg.
File No. 125-24.
Seria. No. 6.

Diary No of letter replied to

No. 1429
- Three -
Rec'd.

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 192

To The Insp Comr

Date of issue 31.3.25

Signed by M T R

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No. C.991 Dy 26th Febry. 1925 reporting on the memorial of Basappa and others of Harihar regarding the conduct of puja in Sri Sangameswara Swamy temple at the place, I am directed to observe that the question at issue is whether the Archaka alone is entitled to do puja at the

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

le or whether other
Brahmans and non-brahmans
Lingayats and other Communities -
can do the puja without the
aid of the Archaka, ~~and to~~
~~State~~ that it is open to you 2. I am to
to decide the matter yourself -
after a personal inspection
of the temple and local
enquiry if you consider
it necessary - leaving
the aggrieved party to appeal
to Govt., ~~if they wish~~
~~to do so~~.

Telegram dy. No. 1419 and petitions dy.
nos. 1410 and 1496 since received
on the subject are herewith
~~forwarded~~ forwarded.

(2) The Vern. records
are herewith returned.

I have etc.

Sd/-
Rev. Secretary

26/3

Sd/
26.3.25